

<b>IMPACT</b>	<b>High</b>	<b><u>closely monitor</u></b> 5. Failure to influence the transposing of EU laws and legislation for landscape and the environment into UK law after Article 50 (Outcome/delivery risk)	<b><u>manage and monitor</u></b> 7. Lack of capacity in the Property Support team to develop and maintain our asset base (Outcome/delivery risk) 8. Failure of the Birds of Prey initiative to deliver (Reputation risk, Outcome/delivery risk)	<b><u>significant focus and attention</u></b> 2. Area of NP land safeguarded in agri-environment schemes reduces because of Brexit uncertainty and continuing issues with Countryside Stewardship (Outcome/delivery risk)
	<b>Medium</b>	<b><u>accept but monitor</u></b>	<b><u>management effort worthwhile</u></b> 1. Adverse exchange rate movements for Moorlife 2020 European funding (Financial risk, Delivery risk) 3. Failure to inspire people to give to the Peak District National Park Authority (Financial risk, Outcome/delivery risk) 4. Failure to deliver an integrated conservation service for land managers and communities which increases awareness, understanding and support for the National Park's special qualities and the public goods delivered by the place (Outcome/delivery risk) 9. Failure to realise opportunities in the 25-Year Environment Plan (Outcome/delivery risk)	<b><u>manage and monitor</u></b> 6. Being a 'poorly performing' Authority based on DCLG measures – specifically major applications appeal performance (Reputation risk, Financial risk)
	<b>Low</b>	<b><u>accept risks</u></b>	<b><u>accept but review periodically</u></b>	<b><u>accept but monitor</u></b>
	<b>Low</b>		<b>Medium</b>	<b>High</b>
<b>LIKELIHOOD</b>				

Our 2018/19 Corporate Risk Register has been developed through an assessment of the risks to achieving Year 3 of our 2016-2019 Corporate Strategy. This included reviewing:

- 2017/18 corporate risks remaining at amber or red at the 2017/18 year end
- Risks to be escalated from service risk registers
- Our external environment.

In developing our Corporate Risk Register, we have used a 9 grid tool based on likelihood and impact of the risk which not only gives a Green / Amber / Red classification but helps us prioritise action to mitigate that risk, depending on where the risk sits on the grid. It is a 'live' tool that is changed if new risks arise or risks are managed down over the year and re-assessed quarterly.